Answer to reviewers (Round #2) :

- Dear François, Thank you for resubmitting your manuscript entitled "Toeholder: a Software for Automated Design and In Silico Validation of Toehold Riboswitches". You have successfully addressed all the comments from the reviewers. However, before we recommend on this manuscript there are minor revisions that are needed. - Figure 2: The text is smaller in comparison to the other figures. Please enlarge it. **Response:** Main text was standardized to 18pt in figure 2. - Please consider dividing the "4.2 Toeholder conception and validation" subtitle into two subtitles. **Response:** 4.2 was subdivided into 4.2 Toeholder conception and 4.3 Toeholder validation. - 7.Data availability: please add the DOIs of your data, scripts and code in this section. **Response:** DOIs were added to the section. - Please add a section "10. Supplementary material" with the DOI/URL to your supplementary files (Supplementary video 1). Response: Section 10 was created and DOI was added for Supplementary video 1. On behalf of all authors on this paper, thank you very much for you feedback and thorough review of this paper! We enjoyed the process of submitting to PCI, and look forward to future collaborations. Francois and Angel

33 Answer to reviewers (Round #1) :

34

35 **Reviewer #1:**

You developed a computational pipeline to design 'toehold' riboswitches for specific RNA trigger sequences. The pipeline predicts which toehold switch design adheres to the secondary structure of a known toehold switch, through a combination of RNA secondary structure prediction and subsequent free energy prediction of that structure to assess its stability. A further *in silico* validation of the method via molecular dynamics is included.

41

42 While the method is in itself well designed and likely very helpful in relation to toehold switch 43 design, the interpretation of the *in silico* results is overly optimistic. Typically, *in silico* methods are 44 very good at separating what might work from what likely does not work (in this case, a particular 45 toehold switch), but here there is no assessment of how reliable your method is, as validated by 46 experimental data. This is an essential component that is missing in your study: is there 47 (independent) data available on toehold switches that are known to work, and is your approach 48 able to detect/score those? Do you have any independent experimental data that illustrates that 49 this method in fact works well (you mention the iGEM project A.D.N. - did this use your approach, 50 and if so how well did it work)?

51 52

Response:

53 We thank the reviewer for the positive comments and appreciate the feedback about the 54 need for experimental validation. While we do not have direct experimental data from our 55 iGEM project, our tool is based on the experimental data from Green et al. (2014) *Cell*. 56 Toehold switches designed with toeholder follow all the sequence constraints they 57 implemented for their forward engineered toehold switches, which had the highest ratio of 58 ON signal (in the presence of the trigger sequence) to OFF signal (in the absence of the 59 trigger sequence).

60

61 Furthermore, we revisited the experimental data from Green et al. (2014) Cell to make 62 some modifications to the output of toeholder. We implemented a calculation for the 63 $\Delta G_{\text{RBS-linker}}$ parameter shown by these authors (Figure 3D-E, Green et al. (2014). Cell) to 64 correlate well with the ON/OFF ratio in toehold switches that follow the forward-65 engineering constraints. Similarly, we used their experimental data to test if the positions 66 of interest we identified from the molecular dynamics simulations could have an effect on 67 the ON/OFF ratio. We find a slight trend for the ON/OFF ratio to decrease in toehold 68 switches that are enriched in GC at the positions that were the least stable during the 69 simulation (Figure 3D-E in our new manuscript). Since GC at those positions would result 70 in a stronger hydrogen bond network (3 hydrogen bonds per position instead of 2), our 71 results suggest that the low stability of the hydrogen bonds at these positions contributes 72 to efficient strand displacement by the trigger sequence and a more efficient activation of 73 the toehold switch. 74

- 75 With these new results in mind, we updated toeholder so that it would rank the candidate 76 switches based on $\Delta G_{RBS-linker}$ and $\Delta \Delta G_{binding}$ (calculated as the difference between the 77 free energy of the bound state and the unbound state), as well as show the count of GC 78 bases at the positions of interest identified with the molecular dynamics simulation. This 79 means that the software ranking is now based on experimental evidence that correlates 80 with toehold performance, as well as, to a lesser extent, with *in silico* predictions based on 81 the molecular dynamics simulation.
- 82

In addition, RNA is a notoriously flexible molecule issue, how well can the silico RNA predictions
that you are using account for that - do your 'free energy' calculations take entropy into account?
What could go wrong in these calculations? These issues are not addressed - but should be.

Response:

88 The software that is used throughout this study, NUPACK, is based on the algorithm 89 presented in the following research paper: Thermodynamic Analysis of Interacting Nucleic 90 Acid Strands (Dirks et al., 2007, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, doi: 91 10.1137/060651100). According to this article, corrections are made within the mean-free-92 energy (MFE) calculations to sum up and account for as much entropic variation as 93 possible, as the authors mention that it is impossible to consider all possible entropic 94 variation for such large and flexible molecules, even more so when doing these 95 calculations on two molecules, such as the toehold and trigger. The authors address this 96 issue in two ways. Firstly, the authors note that:

97 "A free energy model based on summing local contributions cannot account for the entropy reduction
 98 implied by this global R-fold symmetry, so the free energy must be adjusted by a symmetry correction^{note5} of
 99 kTlogR"

- 100"Note 5: The free energy $\Delta G = \Delta H T \Delta S$ can be decomposed into enthalpic (ΔH) and entropic (ΔS)101contributions. The entropy of a system with Γ states at the same energy (in this case, distinct orientations of102a complex with a given secondary structure) is given by k log Γ [18], so a reduction of the number of states by103a factor of R alters the entropy by -k log R and ΔG by +kT log R."
- 104 indicating that entropic variation is considered and corrected for in the free energy 105 calculations, and that it is corrected for as much as possible (Equation 2.1). Secondly, 106 they benchmarked their algorithm using various amounts of RNA strands of different lengths and were able to identify key values for length and number of molecules where all 107 108 possible states can be accounted and corrected for, using a given amount of 109 computational power within a specific timeframe (Figure 4.1). In the case of toeholder, we 110 fall well into the "safe" range illustrated in this figure, as the switch and trigger sequences 111 represent at most two molecules within the range of <160nt illustrated in this figure. While 112 the mathematical proof is beyond the scope of our expertise, this software has been 113 broadly used for such conformational predictions, and we therefore believe that the 114 calculations presented, and the secondary structures predicted, are as accurate as 115 modern techniques and algorithms allow.

- 116
- 117

Finally, your statements should always mention 'predicted' when this is where your information comes from, e.g. page 10, section 3.2, should say 'predicted secondary structure' - as this is what it is, there is no experimental validation.

121

You should address these issues, or tone down your statements about the real-life applicabilityof your method, as at the moment, it is impossible to assess whether your pipeline works in reality

124 (or not). 125

126 We appreciate the feedback from the reviewer. We have toned down the corresponding 127 statements in the manuscript and updated the ranking system to better reflect 128 experimental data.

130 Reviewer #2: 131 132 I found the preprint clear well written, with only a few typos and formatting issues (listed below). 133 As a non-specialist reviewer, there were two terms that I found difficult to understand and I 134 recommend that the authors include a few words of explanation about each of them in the paper to make it more accessible to a broad readership: "orthogonality" and "overregard". I also found 135 136 some sentences that sounded guite finalist, and recommend reformulating them to avoid this: 137 "nature has explored many different regulatory mechanisms"; "capable of regulating transcription 138 and translation to optimize the use of resources" (I suggest "capable of regulating transcription 139 and translation, thereby optimizing the use of resources" that does not convey this finalist 140 undertone). 141 142 Minor typos and formatting issues: 143 144 1) please put line numbers in your resubmitted preprint - it is tricky to provide feedback without 145 them 146 147 2) in part 1.1., "RNA molecules, which typically" should be "RNA molecules that typically" 148 149 3) "have led to several different designs.-" please remove the unnecessary hyphen 150 151 4) "a ribosome binding site" -> "a ribosome-binding site" 152 153 5) in panel 3.2 of Figure 2, "cannonical" -> "canonical" 154 155 6) in part 3.1, "did not unwind which would lead" -> "did not unwind, which would have lead" 156 157 7) in part 4.1, "was stable in the conditions" -> "was stable under the conditions" 158 159 8) "with their complementary sequences fluctuates the most often during the simulation" -> "with their complementary sequences fluctuated most often during the simulation"

- 160 161
- 9) "presented a similar structure to the one" -> "presented a structure similar to the one"
- 164 10) "All switches have a negative energy that predicts" -> "All switches had a negative energy165 that predicted"

166

- 167 11) nearly all references are in "sentence case" except three that are in "Title Case" (refs. 1, 9 168 and 22), please put them in "sentence case" as well
- 169
- 12) please remove "Chapter one " from the begining of the title of reference 22, and pleaseremove also the number "1" at the end of the title

- 173 13) in the PDF preprint, clicking on the references link to a paperpile URL that gives an error174 message. Please remove these useless links or replace them with proper DOI links.

Response:

We appreciate the feedback from the reviewer. We have modified the corresponding
statements in the manuscript, corrected grammatical errors and updated the reference
section with the proper DOI links. "Orthogonality" has been defined in-text, and
"overregard" has been replaced by "overlooked", as the former stemmed from a translation
mistake on our part.

- 185 Dear PCI Genomics team,
- 186

187 Please find attached our manuscript entitled *Toeholder*: a Software for *Automated Design and In Silico* 188 *Validation of Toehold Riboswitches* that we are submitting for publication. Our work presents a novel 189 approach and methods to engineer biological systems by interfacing computer science with synthetic 190 biology.

191

We report part of the results of our 2019 iGEM project on automated design and validation of toehold riboswitches for which we have obtained numerous awards in the iGEM 2019 Giant Jamboree competition: gold medal, first prize in the category of new applications and nomination for the best model (object of this study).

196

203

197 Our main findings are:

- We developed Toeholder, a tool that can automate the design of toehold riboswitches and performs
 in silico tests to help select switch candidates for a target gene.
- Using molecular dynamics simulations, we identified the sites in the hairpin of an example toehold switch whose hydrogen bonds fluctuate the most. These could be potential targets to modify when polishing the design of these riboswitches.
- 204 We consider the current manuscript may be of general interest to the public of PCI Genomics because:
- Despite toehold switches having a wide variety of applications, there is a lack of tools that can facilitate their design process. Toeholder is an open-source software that can help address these design obstacles and provide a comprehensive and automated workflow.
- Effective toehold switches must provide a high ON signal (in the presence of the target) and a low OFF signal (in the absence of the target). While the properties of these switches that maximize the ON/OFF ratio are still unclear, our tool ranks generated toehold switches based on the biophysical parameters that have been previously shown to best correlate with good ON/OFF ratios. By looking at the dynamics of a toehold switch, we identify potential key spots in the hairpin that could be areas of interest, considering that spontaneous unwinding of the hairpin would result in an increased OFF signal.
- 215

This manuscript is a research article based on original work and has not been submitted to another journal for consideration. All authors who have contributed to the study have approved and agree with its submission to PCI Genomics for peer review. There is no conflict of interest to report.

- 219
- 220 We look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.
- 221
- 222 Best regards,
- 223

224 François D. Rouleau

- 225 Institut de biologie intégrative et des systèmes
- 226 Université Laval
- 227 Quebec City, QC
- 228 Canada, G1V 0A6
- 229
- 230

231 Title 232 Toeholder: a Software for Automated Design and In Silico Validation of Toehold Riboswitches 233 234 Authors and affiliations 235 Angel F. Cisneros^{1,3,5,6†,*}, François D. Rouleau^{1,3,5,6†}, Carla Bautista^{2,3,5,6†}, Pascale Lemieux^{1,3,5,6†}, 236 237 Nathan Dumont-Leblond ^{4‡}, on behalf of Team iGEM ULaval 2019 238 239 ¹Département de biochimie, microbiologie et bio-informatique, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, 240 Canada 241 ²Département de biologie, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada ³Institut de biologie intégrative et des systèmes, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada 242 243 ⁴Centre de recherche de l'Institut universitaire de cardiologie et de pneumologie de Québec, 244 Quebec City, QC, Canada ⁵Centre de recherche en données massives de l'Université Laval, Université Laval, Quebec City, 245 246 QC, Canada ⁶Regroupement québécois de recherche sur la fonction, l'ingénierie et la structure des protéines 247 248 (PROTEO), Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada 249 250 [†]These authors contributed equally to this publication 251 [†]These authors contributed equally to this publication 252 253 *Corresponding authors: 254 Institut de biologie intégrative et des systèmes, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada, G1V 255 0A6 256 E-mail address: angel-fernando.cisneros-caballero.1@ulaval.ca 257 258 259 **Keywords** 260 261 Toehold switch, Riboswitches, Molecular switch, Expression regulation

262 Abstract

263 Synthetic biology aims to engineer biological circuits, which often involve gene expression. A 264 particularly promising group of regulatory elements are riboswitches because of their versatility 265 with respect to their targets, but early synthetic designs were not as attractive because of a 266 reduced dynamic range with respect to protein regulators. Only recently, the creation of toehold 267 switches helped overcome this obstacle by also providing an unprecedented degree of orthogonality. However, a lack of automated design and optimization tools prevents the 268 269 widespread and effective use of toehold switches in high throughput experiments. To address 270 this, we developed Toeholder, a comprehensive open-source software for toehold design and in 271 *silico* comparison. Toeholder takes into consideration sequence constraints from experimentally 272 tested switches, as well as data derived from molecular dynamics simulations of a toehold switch. 273 We describe the software and its *in silico* validation results, as well as its potential applications 274 and impacts on the management and design of toehold switches.

275 **1.Introduction**

- 276 1.1 Riboswitches
- 277

278 All biological systems, be they naturally occurring or synthetic, rely on finely tuned interactions of 279 their components. The precise regulation of these interactions is often critical to proper system 280 functions, and there exist, in nature, many such regulatory mechanisms. A particularly interesting 281 group of regulatory elements are riboswitches - RNA molecules, which typically predominate 282 within the 5'-untranslated region (UTR) of prokaryotic protein coding transcripts and that fold into 283 specific secondary and tertiary structures capable of regulating transcription and translation. 284 thereby optimizing the use of resources (Findeiß et al. 2017). Riboswitches have been observed 285 in bacteria (Winkler, Nahvi, and Breaker 2002), archaea (Gupta and Swati 2019), and in some 286 fungi and plants (Sudarsan, Barrick, and Breaker 2003). They respond to a wide range of stimuli, 287 for instance metabolite concentrations, and their prevalence and versatility in nature makes them 288 attractive for the design of synthetic biological circuits (Mandal and Breaker 2004; Garst, Edwards, 289 and Batev 2011).

290

291 Efforts to leverage the potential of riboswitches for synthetic biology have led to several different 292 designs. Out of these, toehold switches have recently been put in the spotlight as a versatile tool 293 with an unprecedented dynamic range and orthogonality (orthogonality meaning that the system 294 is self-contained and has as little spurious effects as possible on other cellular functions) (Green 295 et al. 2014). Toehold switches are single-stranded RNA molecules containing the necessary 296 elements for the translation of a reporter protein: its coding sequence, a ribosome binding site, 297 and a start codon. They fold into a specific hairpin-like secondary structure that blocks the 298 ribosome's access to its binding site and the first start codon on the RNA strand, therefore 299 preventing translation of the coded protein further downstream (OFF state). The hairpin is 300 designed such that when the toehold riboswitch is in the presence of its DNA or RNA "trigger" sequence, the hairpin unfolds (ON state), hence giving access to the ribosome binding site and 301 302 the start codon to enable translation (Green et al. 2014) (Figure 1). As a result, the reporter protein 303 can be used to confirm the presence of the trigger sequence in a sample, which opens a wide 304 variety of potential applications for biosensors.



Figure 1: A) OFF state of a typical toehold switch. Nucleotides (nt) 3 to 33 (α , β) are complementary to the trigger sequence (α ', β '), nt 45 to 51 are the RBS, nt 58 to 60 are the upstream start codon, nt 70 to 90 are the linker sequence, nt 90 and downstream are part of the regulated gene of interest. The trigger sequence (α ', β ') is shown in grey for reference next to the toehold switch. **B**) Intermediate state of a toehold switch when it first binds to its trigger sequence. **C**) ON state of typical toehold switch, where it is stably bound to its trigger sequence, and translation can occur.

315

316 1.2 Applications

317

318 Despite being a fairly recent technology, toehold switches have already been applied to various 319 fields. Applications include orthogonal systems to regulate gene expression in vivo (Green et al. 320 2014), diagnostic tools for RNA virus detection (ebola (Magro et al. 2017), coronavirus (Park and 321 Lee 2021), norovirus (Ma et al. 2018)), organ allograft rejection detection (Chau and Lee 2020), 322 and even logic gates for gene regulation in synthetic systems (Green et al. 2014, 2017) for 323 pharmaceutical and medical purposes, for example as targets for novel antibiotics (Blount and 324 Breaker 2006) or in gene therapy (Nshogozabahizi et al. 2019). Toehold switch-based technology 325 is highly modulable and cost-effective, making it a very interesting tool to address present and 326 future challenges, and holds great promise in being extendable to numerous and varied purposes. 327

328 1.3 Design

When the toehold switch is properly designed, the hairpin will natively fold on itself as the RNA is transcribed, following Watson-Crick canonical hydrogen bonds-based pairing. In absence of the trigger sequence, it will be most stable when in its OFF (hairpin/unbound) conformation, therefore preventing spurious activation and translation of the downstream open reading frame (ORF). In presence of the trigger sequence, the higher Watson-Crick homology between the switch/trigger structure than within the switch itself will favor the unfolding of the hairpin (the ON state), allowing for downstream translation.

337

338 However, the design of toehold switches is not always straightforward. As proper repression of 339 the downstream ORF relies on the secondary structure to avoid leakage and spurious translation, 340 the sequence of the hairpin structure, and therefore the sequence of the trigger, is critical. 341 Depending on the trigger sequence, many of the regulatory parts of the toehold switch, including 342 the RBS and first start codon, and to a lesser extent, the linker sequence, can interfere with proper 343 folding of the hairpin (Findeiß et al. 2017). There are therefore important sequence constraints to 344 observe when designing good quality toehold switches, in which signal leakage (OFF activity) is 345 minimized, while maximizing protein expression (ON activity) when bound to its trigger. Therefore, 346 studying the molecular dynamics of toehold riboswitches could help identify ways to improve their 347 desian.

348

349 Over the past few years, leaps and bounds have been made in the field of toehold switch design. 350 Vast improvements have been made on their ON/OFF ratios/fold increase, dynamic expression 351 levels, and signal leakage, and some sites on the trigger sequence have been identified as being 352 key to hairpin folding, but a standardised "best-practice" when designing toeholds is still lacking. 353 Since few high-throughput datasets on experimentally tested toeholds are available, 354 understanding what makes some better than others remains difficult (Green et al. 2014). As of 355 right now, the main limiting factor in the broader applications of toehold technology is the 356 exploratory aspect of designing toehold switches, as well as intrinsic limitations imposed by 357 essential switch elements (Ausländer and Fussenegger 2014).

358

359 In 2019, our iGEM team designed a project around the real-life applications of toehold switches. 360 Thus, we looked for available tools that could aid the design of these riboswitches. To the best of 361 our knowledge, the only available tools for the design of toehold riboswitches were the NUPACK 362 design suite (Zadeh et al. 2011) and a tool designed by Team iGEM CUHK 2017 (To et al. 2018). 363 However, these tools have a high entry level difficulty, especially when setting up a methodology and when analyzing the results. To address this, our 2019 iGEM team decided to design an open-364 365 source software to make working with toehold switches more accessible, and hopefully allow for 366 broader applications of toehold-based technologies. We created Toeholder, a comprehensive software for toehold design and in silico comparison. Toeholder takes into consideration 367 368 sequence constraints described by Green et al (2014), as well as data derived from our molecular 369 dynamics simulations of a toehold switch. In the present work, we describe the software and its 370 in silico validation results, as well as its potential applications and impact on the management and 371 design of toeholds.

- 372
- 373

374 2.Materials and methods

- 375 2.1 Molecular dynamics simulations of a toehold switch
- 376

Molecular dynamics simulations were performed on a toehold switch from Green et al. (2014) to study the dynamics of its predicted secondary and tertiary structure. We hypothesised that fluctuations in the formation of hydrogen bonds in the hairpin of the toehold switch could lead to spontaneous unwinding of the hairpin, causing the residual OFF signal observed in experiments. As such, we reasoned that studying the dynamics of the structure might provide a broader understanding of the stability of the base pairing in toehold switches.

383

384 Sequences from previously designed toehold switches were downloaded from Green et al. 385 (2014). Toehold switch number 1 from table S3 was selected for further modeling because it 386 provided the highest ON/OFF ratio. Its sequence was used to generate a secondary structure 387 with NUPACK (Zadeh et al. 2011) with the rna1995 parameters (Serra and Turner 1995; Zuker 388 2003: Dirks and Pierce 2003) and a temperature of 37°C. Later, the sequence and the predicted 389 secondary structure were submitted to the RNAComposer online server (Popenda et al. 2012; 390 Purzycka et al. 2015) to obtain a 3D model. The guality of the 3D model was validated with 391 MOLProbity (V. B. Chen et al. 2010) (Table S1). The 3D structure of the toehold switch was 392 introduced in a square water box (146 Å x 146 Å x 146 Å) using the online CHARMM-GUI server 393 (Jo et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2016) with a salt concentration of 0.15 M NaCl. Energy minimization 394 was performed using an NPT equilibration at a constant temperature of 298.15 K. Molecular 395 dynamics simulations were run with the NAMD simulation engine (Phillips et al. 2005) with explicit 396 solvent and periodic boundary conditions for a total length of 40 ns using the CHARMM36 force 397 field and the TIP3P water model.

398

Molecular dynamics simulations (Supplementary video 1) were analyzed using VMD (Humphrey, Dalke, and Schulten 1996). The stability of the hairpin of the toehold riboswitch was evaluated by measuring the persistence of hydrogen bonds throughout the simulation. The percentage of frames in the simulation in which a hydrogen bond is detected (occupancy) was measured using VMD with a distance cut-off of 3 Å and an angle cut-off of 20°. Hydrogen bonds were classified as either canonical (if they appear in the desired secondary structure) or non-canonical (if they do not).

- 406
- 407 2.2 Designing toehold switches with Toeholder
- 408

In parallel to the previous tests, an automated workflow to design and test toehold switches was created to accelerate those processes. The Toeholder software is publicly available on GitHub at <u>https://github.com/igem-ulaval/toeholder</u>. As of publication, it is the first iteration of the program built on the observations of Green et al. (2014). Improvements based on our molecular dynamics simulations remain to be made.

- 414
- 415 The Toeholder workflow for designing toehold switches is shown in Figure 2. Briefly, Toeholder
- 416 receives a target gene and other parameters (length of trigger region bound to target, length of
- 417 trigger in hairpin, reporter gene sequence) as input that will be used to perform a sliding window

418 scan of the target sequence. The sliding window is used to determine the trigger sequence, that 419 is, the complement of the intended target sequence. Afterwards, the sequence that will close the 420 hairpin is added as the complement of the second part of the trigger sequence. The loop and 421 linker regions are taken from the sequence of toehold 1 from table S3 from Green et al. (2014). 422 Once the candidate toehold for that window has been produced, the sliding window advances by 423 one nucleotide. Toeholder produces potential switches for candidates along the entire length of 424 the target gene.

425





Figure 2. Workflow used by Toeholder to design toehold riboswitches. From a target gene, a sliding window is used to determine candidate triggers and its complementary sequence is used to produce the hairpin. The rest of the elements of the toehold riboswitch are then added to the sequence. The secondary structure, binding energy, and binding accuracy of the toehold riboswitch are then tested *in silico*. Toeholder saves the results and moves the sliding window by one nucleotide to work with the following candidate trigger.

433

Toehold switches produced by Toeholder are then tested automatically using NUPACK (Zadeh et al. 2011). The minimum free energy secondary structures of the proposed toehold switch and the target mRNA are generated separately, as well as the minimum free energy secondary structure for the proposed toehold switch bound to the target mRNA. The calculated free energies from these three tests are used to determine the changes in free energy ($\Delta\Delta G$) (Formula 1).

$$\Delta\Delta G_{binding} = \Delta G_{bound/ON} - \left(\Delta G_{unbound/OFF} + \Delta G_{target}\right) \tag{1}$$

441 The potential switches with the lowest $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$ are considered the most likely to offer good 442 performance. Furthermore, the predicted structure of the toehold switch bound to the target 443 mRNA is used to test if the hybridized region is the intended target. Toehold switches that bind 444 perfectly to the intended target are prioritized over those that are predicted to bind partially. The 445 final tests involve looking for stop codons in the region of the toehold switch that would be used 446 for translation, which results in a toehold switch being discarded, as well as ensuring canonical 447 base pairing along the hairpin structure. Finally, only switches which respect suggested forward 448 engineered sequence constraints based on experimental evidence from Green et al. (2014) (2 449 G:C / 1 A:U base pairing at the bottom of the hairpin, 3 A:U base pairing at the top of the hairpin) 450 are passed to the output.

- 451
- 452 2.3 Validation of Toeholder
- 453

454 Toeholder was created as part of a bigger project, A.D.N. (Air Detector of Nucleic Acids), that was 455 meant to detect pathogenic viruses in the air through a combination of toeholds based biosensors 456 and microfluidics. Therefore, the Toeholder workflow (see section 2.2) was used to design and 457 test in silico toehold switches for seven different targets. These targets were selected on the basis 458 of feasibility of our iGEM team working with them in a laboratory (oxyR from Escherichia coli, two 459 CDS from the Phi6 bacteriophage, an ORF from the bacteriophage PR772) or viruses that can 460 represent health concerns (norovirus, measles virus H1, human alphaherpesvirus 3). The in silico 461 characterization of the switches and their production process gave us a substantial validation of 462 the initial workflow. The resulting switches, as well as the accession numbers of the target 463 sequences are detailed in Table S2. Ultimately, the three switches with the lowest $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$ and perfect matches to their respective triggers for each target were selected and submitted as parts 464 465 to the iGEM registry. Selecting three candidates per target allows for a greater probability of 466 identifying a successful switch, since our iGEM team was unable to validate them experimentally. 467

468

Toeholds were also aligned to several reference genomes to test their predicted specificity and versatility using blastn for short sequences (Camacho et al. 2009). These reference genomes were selected based on the possibility of being present in the same samples as the target in a real application (*Escherichia coli, Homo sapiens*, MS2 phage, PM2 phage, Norovirus, Herpesvirus) and to determine if the trigger sequence of a toehold switch was present in several different measles virus strains (B3, C2, D4, D8, G2, H1).

- 475
- 476
- 477

478 3.Results

- 479 3.1 Analysis of molecular dynamics simulations
- 480

- The modeled structure of the toehold riboswitch from Green et al. (Green et al. 2014) remained stable throughout the molecular dynamics simulation (supp. video 1). In particular, the hairpin of the toehold riboswitch did not unwind, which would have led to the unwanted expression of the reporter gene. The most flexible regions of the structure were the two ends of the molecule, as
- 485 expected, because base pairing in these regions is very limited.
- 486

487 Since the hairpin relies primarily on hydrogen bonds resulting from base pairing, we did a 488 quantitative analysis on hydrogen bonds throughout the molecular dynamics simulation. We found 489 that the number of hydrogen bonds remains relatively stable throughout the simulation (Figure 490 3A), which is consistent with our observation of the hairpin not unwinding. We then set out to 491 identify the positions in the hairpin that were responsible for the fluctuations observed in the 492 number of hydrogen bonds. We measured the occupancy, i.e. the percentage of frames of the 493 simulation in which the hydrogen bond is observed, of each intended hydrogen bond in the hairpin 494 (Figure 3B). Since base pairing includes multiple hydrogen bonds (two for each A:U pair and three 495 for each G:C pair), each position is represented by the mean of the occupancies of its hydrogen 496 bonds. By comparing the occupancies at each position, we identified the five most stable 497 (hydrogen bonds between nucleotides 19, 21, 22, 32, and 33 and their complements) and the five least stable hydrogen bonds (nucleotides at positions 23, 24, 26, 31, and 36 with their 498 499 complements) of the hairpin of the simulated toehold switch (Figure 3C). Thus, we hypothesized 500 that GC content at these positions of interest could facilitate hairpin unwinding and contribute to 501 the high ON/OFF ratio of toehold switch 1.

502

503

504



508 Figure 3. Analysis of hydrogen bonds throughout the molecular dynamics simulation. A)

509 Number of hydrogen bonds observed at every time point of the simulation. The black dashed line 510 indicates the mean number of hydrogen bonds, and the shaded region indicates one standard 511 deviation above and under the mean. **B**) Average occupancy of canonical (as determined by the 512 predicted secondary structure) and not canonical hydrogen bonds throughout the molecular 513 dynamics simulation at each position. **C**) Secondary structure diagram showing the positions with 514 the most and least stable hydrogen bonds in the hairpin.

515

516 To test the contribution of GC content at these positions of interest to ON/OFF ratio, we 517 reanalyzed the available dataset of 168 first-generation toehold switches from Green et al. (2014). 518 We labeled each of the toehold switches based on the number of positions of interest from the 519 molecular dynamics simulation containing GC, except for position 36 since design constraints 520 require A:U pairing at that position. However, our statistical test (ANOVA with Tukey's test for 521 honest significant differences) showed that any differences in ON/OFF ratio for toehold switches 522 with GC at the most stable positions (Fig. 4A) or at the least stable positions (Fig. 4B) were not 523 statistically significant. To complement the analysis, we analyzed the distribution ON/OFF ratio 524 based on the combination of GC content at both the most stable and least stable positions but 525 observed that the available dataset underrepresents most of the possible combinations, with no 526 switches sharing the pattern observed in toehold switch 1 of GC at all of the most stable positions

- 527 and AU at all of the least stable positions (Fig. 4C). Thus, our results suggest that neither the
- 528 most stable nor the least stable positions could explain the ON/OFF ratio on their own, but we
- 529 cannot fully confirm the relevance of these positions based on currently available experimental

530 <mark>data.</mark>



Figure 4. Contributions of GC content at positions of interest from the molecular dynamics

simulation. Data from first-generation toehold riboswitches from Green et al. 2014 were used.

A) ON/OFF ratio for toehold riboswitches based on GC at the most stable positions for the molecular dynamics simulation of the best forward engineered toehold from Green et al. 2014. B)
 ON/OFF ratio based on GC at the least stable positions from the molecular dynamics simulation.
 C) Combinations of GC at the most stable and least stable positions and the mean ON/OFF ratio for each combination. Numbers of toehold riboswitches in each group are indicated.
 3.2 Validating toehold riboswitches designed by Toeholder

541

542 All toehold riboswitches designed by Toeholder were tested *in silico* to evaluate their quality. Here, 543 we show how riboswitches designed with Toeholder for seven different targets scored in our tests.

544

545 The first test validates the secondary structure of the riboswitch using NUPACK (Zadeh et al. 546 2011). Our riboswitches tended to have a similar secondary structure to the one with the highest 547 ON/OFF ratio designed by Zadeh et al. (2011). The average secondary structures for riboswitches 548 generated for each of the seven different targets and the riboswitch from Zadeh et al. (2011) as 549 the reference are shown in table S2. Average secondary structures were generated by taking the 550 most frequent state for each position in the set of sequences for the same target. Importantly, the 551 main hairpin and the smaller one closer to the reporter gene are preserved in these average 552 secondary structures, indicating that toehold riboswitches designed by Toeholder fold into a 553 desirable secondary structure.

554

555 The following tests evaluate the predicted binding of the toehold riboswitches to the target. The 556 distributions of $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$ values for every toehold riboswitch candidate produced for the seven 557 targets are shown in Figure 5A. Since all the $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$ are negative, the bound state is more 558 stable for all of our riboswitches than the unbound state.

559

560 Similarly, using the prediction for the bound secondary structure, we can evaluate if each 561 designed toehold riboswitch is predicted to bind to its intended target. Toehold riboswitches were 562 classified as perfect matches if all their positions were predicted to bind to the target and imperfect 563 matches if there was at least one mismatch. As shown in Figure 5B, around 70% of the 564 riboswitches designed for each of our targets are predicted to bind perfectly, even when 565 discarding all the ones that have undesirable stop codons. Thus, our riboswitches would be 566 expected to be able to recognize their targets efficiently.

567

568

- 570
- 571



Figure 5. Analysis of binding for toehold riboswitches designed by Toeholder. A) Distribution of free energy differences between the unbound state and the bound state among the number of toehold candidates. B) Classification of toehold riboswitches according to the accuracy with which they bind to their target (imperfect and perfect match) and if they have a stop codon.

578 579

580 **4.Discussion**

581 4.1 Toehold switch characterization through molecular dynamics

582 583 Molecular dynamics simulations were first performed to get insights into the molecular interactions 584 in the toehold structure. Our results allowed us to identify regions more likely to play an important 585 role in the ability of switches to retain their appropriate secondary structure in the absence of the 586 trigger. The results obtained were in line with the structural description given by Green et al. 587 (2014). The 3D structure of the switch was stable under the conditions it was tested in (0.15M 588 NaCl, 298.15K).

589

590 The stability of the hydrogen bonds responsible for this structure were also studied to identify 591 weakpoints that may be worth considering when designing toehold switches. The base pairing of 592 nucleotides at positions 23, 24, 26, 31, and 36 with their complementary sequences fluctuates 593 the most often during the simulation, yet it is critical in preserving appropriate folding and reducing OFF signal. To reduce spurious expression of the reporting protein in absence of the target, it 594 595 may be useful to favor guanine or cytosine bases in those positions to increase structural stability. 596 Since this may also come at the cost of reduced sensitivity, additional data and in vitro tests are 597 required to confirm these assumptions empirically. It is also important to remember that these 598 weaker sites could change for toehold switches with different specifications, such as longer or 599 shorter hairpins. Therefore, further analyses with longer simulations of more switches could help 600 identify the positions of interest for different designs. It should also be noted that the mean 601 occupancies presented in figure 3 were computed on a different number of hydrogen bonds 602 depending on the type of nucleotide (A:U = 2 bonds, G:C = 3 bonds) and that it does not allow for 603 individual characterization of those bonds. However, since only entire nucleotides can be 604 substituted, and not individual bonds, we believe this representation remains useful to identify 605 and consolidate structural weaknesses.

606

607 4.2 Toeholder conception

608

In parallel to these experiments, we created Toeholder, an automated workflow for toehold switches design based on sequence requirements defined by Green et al. (2014). The opensource program, that can be run locally or at our web server (<u>https://toeholder.ibis.ulaval.ca/</u>), allows the users to input target sequences and receive a list of potential toehold sequences that have been curated and ranked. As a result, we believe Toeholder will contribute to a reduction of the high entry level difficulty usually associated with this molecular regulator technology. 616 The output of Toeholder is fully described in the Github repository. Briefly, results are organized 617 in a folder containing copies of the input files, tables summarizing the results for all the toehold switches, and individual subfolders for each of the switches designed. Users would be 618 encouraged to select toehold riboswitches to test experimentally based on the data available (free 619 620 energy change of binding to the target, whether the toehold is predicted to bind perfectly to the 621 trigger sequence, the desired specificity or versatility depending on matches found in genomes of 622 interest, and the percentage of GC in weaker regions of the hairpin). Once selected, the user can 623 find the full sequence of the riboswitch in its respective subfolder based on its index.

624

625 Toeholder also allows users to submit genomes of interest to search for hits of the trigger 626 sequence. This function can be used to evaluate if a riboswitch satisfies the needed requirements 627 of target specificity or universality. For example, we tested for hits of our trigger sequences in the 628 human genome. This allowed us to confirm that the sequences targeted by our toehold 629 riboswitches were not present in the human genome, thus minimizing the possibility of having 630 spurious expression due to the riboswitches interacting with human sequences. On the other 631 hand, we looked for hits in several measles virus strains in order to make sure the trigger 632 sequences were conserved, so that the designed riboswitches would be able to recognize many 633 of the different strains.

634

635 The potential improvement in sequence composition found using molecular dynamics have not 636 been added to the program. Yet, due to its open-source nature, these modifications can be easily introduced retroactively, through the Github repository, when more robust data supports the 637 638 importance of these positions in detection effectiveness. Due to temporal and monetary 639 limitations, we were unable to experimentally assess the importance of these sites. However, 640 since they follow experimentally validated constraints from Green et al. (2014), we believe that 641 the toehold switches produced by Toeholder should operate in a dynamic range similar to that of 642 the forward-engineered switches from this experimental dataset.

- 643
- 644 4.3 Toeholder validation

645

646 Toeholder was used as part of our 2019 iGEM project to design switches that could detect phages 647 and bacterial components used for in vitro and proof of concept tests, as well as switches for 648 human viruses. Additional tests were run on the outputs of the designs to validate the program. 649 First, the secondary structure of all the riboswitches candidates for the seven targets were 650 computed using NUPACK and all of them presented a similar structure to the one we 651 characterized from Green et al. (2014). Therefore, we expect them to behave in a similar way in 652 vitro. Their free energies were also recomputed and are presented in figure 5A. All switches have 653 a negative energy that predicts they should favour the bound state to the target. In addition, of all 654 the candidate switches produced, around 70% and up were a perfect match to the target, meaning 655 Toeholder effectively suggested switches that would theoretically recognize their appropriate 656 target. Altogether, the software consistently produced candidate switches that are within the 657 defined sequence and structural restrictions and that should recognize their target, all of it in an 658 easy-to-use format.

660 4.4 Comparison with different approaches

661 Although the study of riboswitches is currently somewhat limited to proof-of-concept studies, in 662 silico approaches have been widely explored for prediction of riboswitches performance both from 663 sequence information alone (Barrick 2009; Nawrocki, Kolbe, and Eddy 2009) and structural 664 features (Barash and Gabdank 2010). However, despite the many possibilities and applications 665 that Toehold switches offer, far fewer studies have focused on the in silico design of these tools 666 specifically ((Zadeh et al. 2011), (To et al. 2018)). The lack of high-throughput datasets on 667 experimentally tested toeholds makes it difficult to understand what affects their performance and 668 how it can be improved. Therefore, our open-source software, in addition to allowing the high-669 throughput effective design of Toehold switches, provides a global idea of their dynamics and 670 operation. Besides its simplicity in terms of design, we have provided an in silico validation, which 671 ensures an effective and working design.

672

673 4.5 Limitations

674

675 The limitations of Toeholder reside in its fully in silico approach. Our computations may overlook 676 sequence requirements that could only be discovered by extensive in vitro experiments. Very few 677 data sets of such nature are currently available, and we were unable to complete these 678 experiments on the switches we designed for the 2019 iGEM competition, due to time constraints. 679 Questions also remain on the optimal physicochemical conditions to use toehold switches. Our in 680 silico models and validation use standard conditions, in part limited by the programs, that may not 681 reflect the way switches may want to be used. Certainly, the conditions are critical in the control 682 of these tools since natural riboswitches can detect concentrations of small ligands (reviewed in 683 (Findeiß et al. 2017)), but are sensitive to changes in temperature (Narberhaus 2010) or pH-value 684 (Nechooshtan et al. 2009) which can be a limitation if conditions are no longer controlled, reducing their potential applications in very different systems or in extreme conditions. However, toehold 685 686 switches address some other limitations of earlier riboregulator designs as low dynamic range, 687 orthogonality, and programmability, since these RNA-based molecules exhibit more kinetically 688 and thermodynamically favorable states by incorporating linear - linear interactions instead of 689 loop-loop and loop-linear interactions (Green et al. 2014). This reflects the need for high 690 throughput experimental screening to accompany in silico studies such as this one. However, our 691 software provides a first step to facilitate high-throughput toehold switch design, production, and 692 testing. Future studies could use it as a steppingstone to provide more in-depth characterization 693 of these promising molecular regulators and therefore, to overcome their limitations.

694

695 4.5 Applications and 2019 iGEM project

696

597 Due to their adaptability, toehold switches offer great possibilities of applications. As part of the 598 2019 iGEM competition, we presented the project A.D.N. (Air Detector for Nucleic acids), which 599 takes advantage of this technology to create a biosensor that detects airborne pathogens (see 500 Team iGEM ULaval 2019 wiki: https://2019.igem.org/Team:ULaval). Riboswitches were designed 501 as the sensing component of a modular device designed to sample air, extract ribonucleotides, 502 and prepare samples via microfluidics, as well as perform detection through fluorescence 703 measurements. The combination of toehold switches with optical detection offers great practicality

- 704 and target versatility.
- 705 706

707 **5.Conclusions**

708 The development of synthetic biology and the numerous molecular systems requires the parallel 709 coupling of bioinformatics tools that facilitate their easy handling and implementation. Our open-710 source software, Toeholder, aims to facilitate the automated in silico design of toehold 711 riboswitches and the selection of switch candidates for a target gene. Furthermore, by using 712 molecular dynamics simulations, we identified the nucleotides in the hairpin of a reference toehold 713 switch whose hydrogen bonds fluctuate the most. These could be potential targets to modify when 714 polishing the design of these riboswitches. Increasing switches efficacy will likely contribute to 715 their integration into broader applications of toehold-based technologies.

716 717

718 6.Acknowledgments

719 Special thanks to all the other members of the iGEM ULaval 2019 team (Catherine Marois, Elodie 720 Gillard, Florian Echelard, Guillaume Fournier, Jean-Michel Proulx, Julien Roy, Karine Bouchard, 721 Lucas Germain, Marianne Côté, Martine Voisine, Nastaran Khodaparastasgarabad, Ahmed 722 Mataich), including our professors and mentors (Hélène Deveau, Michel Guertin, Steve Charette). 723 Team iGEM ULaval 2019 would also like to thank our partners who funded this project and 724 covered participation fees for the competition (https://2019.igem.org/Team:ULaval). We would 725 also like to thank Peng Yin and Alexander Green for kindly sharing additional raw data from their 726 experimentally tested library of toehold switches. Funding sources had no direct involvement in 727 study design or publication of this project. 728

729 7.Data availability

- 730 All data are available in the Supplementary materials.
- 731 DOIs:
- 732 Toeholder tool: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7304556</u>
- Toeholder data and Scripts: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7304525</u>
- 734
- 735 8.Declarations of competing interests
- 736 None.
- 737
- 738 9.References

Ausländer, Simon, and Martin Fussenegger. 2014. "Toehold Gene Switches Make Big
 Footprints." *Nature* 516 (7531): 333–34. doi: 10.1038/516333a.

- 741 Barash, Danny, and Idan Gabdank. 2010. "Energy Minimization Methods Applied to
 742 Riboswitches: A Perspective and Challenges." *RNA Biology* 7 (1): 90–97. doi:
 743 10.4161/rna.7.1.10657.
- Barrick, Jeffrey E. 2009. "Predicting Riboswitch Regulation on a Genomic Scale." *Methods in Molecular Biology* 540: 1–13. doi: 10.1007/978-1-59745-558-9_1.
- 746 Blount, Kenneth F., and Ronald R. Breaker. 2006. "Riboswitches as Antibacterial Drug Targets."

- 747 *Nature Biotechnology* 24 (12): 1558–64. doi: 10.1038/nbt1268.
- Camacho, Christiam, George Coulouris, Vahram Avagyan, Ning Ma, Jason Papadopoulos, Kevin
 Bealer, and Thomas L. Madden. 2009. "BLAST+: Architecture and Applications." *BMC Bioinformatics* 10 (December): 421. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.
- Chau, Tin Hoang Trung, and Eun Yeol Lee. 2020. "Development of Cell-Free Platform-Based
 Toehold Switch System for Detection of IP-10 mRNA, an Indicator for Acute Kidney Allograft
 Rejection Diagnosis." *Clinica Chimica Acta; International Journal of Clinical Chemistry* 510
 (November): 619–24. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2020.08.034.
- Chen, Vincent B., W. Bryan Arendall 3rd, Jeffrey J. Headd, Daniel A. Keedy, Robert M.
 Immormino, Gary J. Kapral, Laura W. Murray, Jane S. Richardson, and David C. Richardson.
 2010. "MolProbity: All-Atom Structure Validation for Macromolecular Crystallography." *Acta Crystallographica.* Section D, Biological Crystallography 66 (Pt 1): 12–21. doi:
 10.1107/S0907444909042073.
- Dirks, Robert M., and Niles A. Pierce. 2003. "A Partition Function Algorithm for Nucleic Acid
 Secondary Structure Including Pseudoknots." *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 24 (13):
 1664–77. doi: 10.1002/jcc.10296.
- Findeiß, Sven, Maja Etzel, Sebastian Will, Mario Mörl, and Peter F. Stadler. 2017. "Design of Artificial Riboswitches as Biosensors." *Sensors* 17 (9). doi:10.3390/s17091990.
- Garst, Andrew D., Andrea L. Edwards, and Robert T. Batey. 2011. "Riboswitches: Structures and
 Mechanisms." *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* 3 (6). doi:
 10.1101/cshperspect.a003533.
- Green, Alexander A., Jongmin Kim, Duo Ma, Pamela A. Silver, James J. Collins, and Peng Yin.
 2017. "Complex Cellular Logic Computation Using Ribocomputing Devices." *Nature* 548 (7665): 117–21. doi: 10.1038/nature23271.
- Green, Alexander A., Pamela A. Silver, James J. Collins, and Peng Yin. 2014. "Toehold Switches:
 De-Novo-Designed Regulators of Gene Expression." *Cell* 159 (4): 925–39. doi:
 10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.002.
- Gupta, Angela, and D. Swati. 2019. "Riboswitches in Archaea." *Combinatorial Chemistry & High Throughput Screening* 22 (2): 135–49. doi: 10.2174/1386207322666190425143301.
- Humphrey, W., A. Dalke, and K. Schulten. 1996. "VMD: Visual Molecular Dynamics." *Journal of Molecular Graphics* 14 (1): 33–38, 27–28. doi: 10.1016/0263-7855(96)00018-5.
- Jo, Sunhwan, Taehoon Kim, Vidyashankara G. Iyer, and Wonpil Im. 2008. "CHARMM-GUI: A
 Web-Based Graphical User Interface for CHARMM." *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 29 (11): 1859–65. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20945.
- Lee, Jumin, Xi Cheng, Jason M. Swails, Min Sun Yeom, Peter K. Eastman, Justin A. Lemkul,
 Shuai Wei, et al. 2016. "CHARMM-GUI Input Generator for NAMD, GROMACS, AMBER,
 OpenMM, and CHARMM/OpenMM Simulations Using the CHARMM36 Additive Force Field." *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* 12 (1): 405–13. doi: 10.1021/acs.jctc.5b00935.
- 785 Ma, Duo, Luhui Shen, Kaiyue Wu, Chris W. Diehnelt, and Alexander A. Green. 2018. "Low-Cost
 786 Detection of Norovirus Using Paper-Based Cell-Free Systems and Synbody-Based Viral
- 787 Enrichment." *Synthetic Biology* 3 (1): ysy018. doi: 10.1093/synbio/ysy018.
- Magro, Laura, Béatrice Jacquelin, Camille Escadafal, Pierre Garneret, Aurélia Kwasiborski, JeanClaude Manuguerra, Fabrice Monti, et al. 2017. "Paper-Based RNA Detection and
 Multiplexed Analysis for Ebola Virus Diagnostics." *Scientific Reports* 7 (1): 1347. doi:
 10.1038/s41598-017-00758-9.
- Mandal, Maumita, and Ronald R. Breaker. 2004. "Adenine Riboswitches and Gene Activation by
 Disruption of a Transcription Terminator." *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* 11 (1): 29–
 35. doi: 10.1038/nsmb710.
- Narberhaus, Franz. 2010. "Translational Control of Bacterial Heat Shock and Virulence Genes by
 Temperature-Sensing mRNAs." *RNA Biology* 7 (1): 84–89. doi: 10.4161/rna.7.1.10501.
- 797 Nawrocki, E. P., D. L. Kolbe, and S. R. Eddy. 2009. "Infernal 1.0: Inference of RNA Alignments."

798 *Bioinformatics*. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp326.

- 799 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp157.
- Nechooshtan, Gal, Maya Elgrably-Weiss, Abigail Sheaffer, Eric Westhof, and Shoshy Altuvia.
 2009. "A pH-Responsive Riboregulator." *Genes & Development* 23 (22): 2650–62. doi: 10.1101/gad.552209.
- Nshogozabahizi, J. C., K. L. Aubrey, J. A. Ross, and N. Thakor. 2019. "Applications and Limitations of Regulatory RNA Elements in Synthetic Biology and Biotechnology." *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 127 (4): 968–84. doi: 10.1111/jam.14270.
- Park, Soan, and Jeong Wook Lee. 2021. "Detection of Coronaviruses Using RNA Toehold Switch
 Sensors." *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 22 (4).
 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22041772. doi: 10.3390/ijms22041772.
- Phillips, James C., Rosemary Braun, Wei Wang, James Gumbart, Emad Tajkhorshid, Elizabeth
 Villa, Christophe Chipot, Robert D. Skeel, Laxmikant Kalé, and Klaus Schulten. 2005.
 "Scalable Molecular Dynamics with NAMD." *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, Springer
 Seri, 26 (16): 1781–1802. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20289.
- Popenda, Mariusz, Marta Szachniuk, Maciej Antczak, Katarzyna J. Purzycka, Piotr Lukasiak,
 Natalia Bartol, Jacek Blazewicz, and Ryszard W. Adamiak. 2012. "Automated 3D Structure
 Composition for Large RNAs." *Nucleic Acids Research* 40 (14): e112. doi:
 10.1093/nar/gks339.
- Purzycka, K. J., M. Popenda, M. Szachniuk, M. Antczak, P. Lukasiak, J. Blazewicz, and R. W.
 Adamiak. 2015. "Automated 3D RNA Structure Prediction Using the RNAComposer Method for Riboswitches1." In *Methods in Enzymology*, edited by Shi-Jie Chen and Donald H. BurkeAguero, 553:3–34. Academic Press. . doi: 10.1016/bs.mie.2014.10.050.
- Serra, Martin J., and Douglas H. Turner. 1995. "Predicting Thermodynamic Properties of RNA."
 In *Methods in Enzymology*, 259:242–61. Academic Press. doi: 10.1016/0076-6879(95)59047-1.
- Sudarsan, Narasimhan, Jeffrey E. Barrick, and Ronald R. Breaker. 2003. "Metabolite-Binding
 RNA Domains Are Present in the Genes of Eukaryotes." *RNA* 9 (6): 644–47. doi:
 10.1261/rna.5090103.
- To, Andrew Ching-Yuet, David Ho-Ting Chu, Angela Ruoning Wang, Frances Cheuk-Yau Li, Alan
 Wai-On Chiu, Daisy Yuwei Gao, Chung Hang Jonathan Choi, et al. 2018. "A Comprehensive
 Web Tool for Toehold Switch Design." *Bioinformatics* 34 (16): 2862–64. doi:
 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty216.
- Winkler, Wade, Ali Nahvi, and Ronald R. Breaker. 2002. "Thiamine Derivatives Bind Messenger
 RNAs Directly to Regulate Bacterial Gene Expression." *Nature* 419 (6910): 952–56. doi:
 10.1038/nature01145.
- Zadeh, Joseph N., Conrad D. Steenberg, Justin S. Bois, Brian R. Wolfe, Marshall B. Pierce, Asif
 R. Khan, Robert M. Dirks, and Niles A. Pierce. 2011. "NUPACK: Analysis and Design of
 Nucleic Acid Systems." *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 32 (1): 170–73. doi:
 10.1002/jcc.21596.
- Zuker, Michael. 2003. "Mfold Web Server for Nucleic Acid Folding and Hybridization Prediction."
 Nucleic Acids Research 31 (13): 3406–15. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg595.

840 **10.Supplementary data**

- 841 Supplementary video 1: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7418392</u>
- 842