Peer Community In Genomics

TransPI: A balancing act between transcriptome assemblers

Oleg Simakov based on peer reviews by Juan Daniel Montenegro Cabrera and Gustavo Sanchez

Ramon E Rivera-Vicens, Catalina Garcia-Escudero, Nicola Conci, Michael Eitel, Gert Wörheide (2021) TransPi - a comprehensive TRanscriptome ANalysiS PIpeline for de novo transcriptome assembly. Missing preprint_server, ver. Missing article_version, peer-reviewed and recommended by Peer Community in Genomics. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.18.431773

Submitted: 18 February 2021, Recommended: 19 July 2021

Cite this recommendation as:

Simakov, O. (2021) TransPI: A balancing act between transcriptome assemblers. *Peer Community in Genomics*, 100009. 10.24072/pci.genomics.100009

Published: 19 July 2021

Copyright: This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Ever since the introduction of the first widely usable assemblers for transcriptomic reads (Huang and Madan 1999; Schulz et al. 2012; Simpson et al. 2009; Trapnell et al. 2010, and many more), it has been a technical challenge to compare different methods and to choose the "right" or "best" assembly. It took years until the first widely accepted set of benchmarks beyond raw statistical evaluation became available (e.g., Parra, Bradnam, and Korf 2007; Simão et al. 2015). However, an approach to find the right balance between the number of transcripts or isoforms vs. evolutionary completeness measures has been lacking. This has been particularly pronounced in the field of non-model organisms (i.e., wild species that lack a genomic reference). Often, studies in this area employed only one set of assembly tools (the most often used to this day being Trinity, Haas et al. 2013; Grabherr et al. 2011). While it was relatively straightforward to obtain an initial assembly, its validation, annotation, as well its application to the particular purpose that the study was designed for (phylogenetics, differential gene expression, etc) lacked a clear workflow. This led to many studies using a custom set of tools with ensuing various degrees of reproducibility.

TransPi (Rivera-Vicéns et al. 2021) fills this gap by first employing a meta approach using several available transcriptome assemblers and algorithms to produce a combined and reduced transcriptome assembly, then validating and annotating the resulting transcriptome. Notably, TransPI performs an extensive analysis/detection of chimeric transcripts, the results of which show that this new tool often produces fewer misassemblies compared to Trinity. TransPI not only generates a final report that includes the most important plots (in clickable/zoomable format) but also stores all relevant intermediate files, allowing advanced users to take a deeper look and/or experiment with different settings. As running TransPi is largely automated (including its

installation via several popular package managers), it is very user-friendly and is likely to become the new "gold standard" for transcriptome analyses, especially of non-model organisms.

References:

Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X, Fan L, Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q, Chen Z, Mauceli E, Hacohen N, Gnirke A, Rhind N, di Palma F, Birren BW, Nusbaum C, Lindblad-Toh K, Friedman N, Regev A (2011) Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nature Biotechnology, 29, 644–652. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883

Haas BJ, Papanicolaou A, Yassour M, Grabherr M, Blood PD, Bowden J, Couger MB, Eccles D, Li B, Lieber M, MacManes MD, Ott M, Orvis J, Pochet N, Strozzi F, Weeks N, Westerman R, William T, Dewey CN, Henschel R, LeDuc RD, Friedman N, Regev A (2013) De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. Nature Protocols, 8, 1494–1512. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.084

Huang X, Madan A (1999) CAP3: A DNA Sequence Assembly Program. Genome Research, 9, 868–877. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.9.9.868

Parra G, Bradnam K, Korf I (2007) CEGMA: a pipeline to accurately annotate core genes in eukaryotic genomes. Bioinformatics, 23, 1061–1067. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm071

Rivera-Vicéns RE, Garcia-Escudero CA, Conci N, Eitel M, Wörheide G (2021) TransPi – a comprehensive TRanscriptome ANalysiS PIpeline for de novo transcriptome assembly. bioRxiv, 2021.02.18.431773, ver. 3 peer-reviewed and recommended by Peer Community in Genomics. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.18.431773

Schulz MH, Zerbino DR, Vingron M, Birney E (2012) Oases: robust de novo RNA-seq assembly across the dynamic range of expression levels. Bioinformatics, 28, 1086–1092. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts094

Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM (2015) BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics, 31, 3210–3212. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351

Simpson JT, Wong K, Jackman SD, Schein JE, Jones SJM, Birol İ (2009) ABySS: A parallel assembler for short read sequence data. Genome Research, 19, 1117–1123. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.089532.108

Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, van Baren MJ, Salzberg SL, Wold BJ, Pachter L (2010) Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nature Biotechnology, 28, 511–515. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1621

Reviews

Evaluation round #1

DOI or URL of the preprint: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.18.431773 Version of the preprint: 1

Authors' reply, 16 June 2021

Download author's reply

Decision by Oleg Simakov, posted 23 March 2021

Recommendation

The article presents a meta approach to transcriptome assembly, validation, and annotation. Based on multiple available tools, the TransPI aims to find the best suitable assembler/algorithm combination for a given set of data, followed by automated annotation. One of the main advantages of this approach is its flexibility in working on data from both "model" and "non-model" organisms and various levels of user expertise. TransPI also provides a clear and reproducible workflow. The manuscript it clearly written, and I will be happy to recommend it once the authors address the few points raised by the reviewers (in particular reviewer 2's concerns). These are very detailed and mostly can help phrase the manuscript better. They also include some important additional validation ideas, including an assessment of chimeric transcripts and merged/unmerged isoforms against 'golden-standard' data available for some of the species (i.e., not be limited to mainly BUSCO scores).

Reviewed by Gustavo Sanchez, 11 March 2021

Rivera-Vicéns et al., report TransPi, a user-friendly pipeline for de novo transcriptome assembly, useful for non-model organisms. I like the efforts of the authors to compare the performance of TransPi with the popular assembler Trinity and the inclusion of datasets from different taxonomic ranks for their analysis. I am also surprised by the reduction performed in EvidetialGene (sometimes over 50%), perhaps being one of the additional and best steps implemented in TransPi. The interactive report at the end of the pipeline is also a significant advantage for us, researchers, who want to check our assembly's quality quickly before going to the next steps of the project.

I have only a few minor comments to improve the reading. Please see the revised version attached. **Download the review**

Reviewed by Juan Daniel Montenegro Cabrera, 16 March 2021

Download the review